

## Part B - B8.2 Continuous Martingales & Stochastic Calculus Cla

Hilary Term 2017

Margarint, Vlad (TA: Johannes Wiesel

Total number of questionnaires received:10

### Responses:

2 pages of report (including comments)

	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>No response</b>
1 The class tutor made the subject understandable	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2 The class tutor made good use of the time available	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3 The class tutor ensured good notes were available or could be taken	60%	30%	10%	0%	0%	0%
4 The class tutor was approachable	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5 The class tutor allowed sufficient time for individual queries	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
6 This tutor's classes were worthwhile	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%
7 The class teaching assistant marked work thoroughly	70%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%
8 The class teaching assistant made fair and constructive comments	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%
9 The class teaching assistant was available to answer queries about the marking	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%
10 The arrangements for the classes worked well	70%	30%	0%	0%	0%	0%
11 The classes complemented the lecture course well	50%	40%	10%	0%	0%	0%
12 It was easy to use the website to find the details of the time and place of the class.	80%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%

# **Part B - B8.2 Continuous Martingales & Stochastic Calculus Cla**

**Hilary Term 2017**

**Margarint, Vlad (TA: Johannes Wiesel**

## **Comments:**

- This is the best class this term very well-explained.
- Definitely the best and more intellectually challenging course I have taken at Oxford.
- Hardest problem sheets of all the courses I took by far. Classes were extremely helpful, provide good solutions and insights. Class tutor and TA really help to understand the course and are dedicated to make sure everything understood solutions to problems.

## Part C - C8.1 Stochastic Differential Equations Classes

Michaelmas Term 2016

Margarint, Vlad (TA: Bartosz Bartman)

Total number of questionnaires received:7

### Responses:

2 pages of report (including comments)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No response
1 Made the subject understandable	57%	29%	14%	0%	0%	0%
2 Made good use of the time available	14%	43%	0%	43%	0%	0%
3 Ensured good notes were available or could be taken	71%	14%	14%	0%	0%	0%
4 Was approachable	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5 Allowed sufficient time for individual queries	57%	29%	14%	0%	0%	0%
6 This tutor's classes were worthwhile	71%	14%	14%	0%	0%	0%
7 Marked work thoroughly	43%	14%	14%	29%	0%	0%
8 Made fair and constructive comments	43%	14%	29%	14%	0%	0%
9 Was available to answer queries about the marking	57%	29%	14%	0%	0%	0%
10 The arrangements for the classes worked well	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%
11 The classes complemented the lecture course well	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%
12 It was easy to use the website to find the details of the time and place of the class.	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Part C - C8.1 Stochastic Differential Equations Classes**

**Michaelmas Term 2016**

**Margarint, Vlad (TA: Bartosz Bartman)**

### **Comments:**

- I thought this was one of the best taught classes I have evr taken, due to the efforts of both the tutor and the TA. If possible it would be useful to make the classes a bit longer next year.
- Not really enough time in classes to do details of hard problems.

## Part C - C5.3 Statistical Mechanics Classes

Michaelmas Term 2017

Margarint, Vlad (TA: Michael McPhai)

Total number of questionnaires received:5

### Responses:

2 pages of report (including comments)

	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>No response</b>
1 The class tutor made the subject understandable	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2 The class tutor made good use of the time available	20%	40%	40%	0%	0%	0%
3 The class tutor ensured good notes were available or could be taken	20%	60%	20%	0%	0%	0%
4 The class tutor was approachable	40%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%
5 The class tutor allowed sufficient time for individual queries	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
6 This tutor's classes were worthwhile	20%	60%	20%	0%	0%	0%
7 The class teaching assistant marked work thoroughly	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
8 The class teaching assistant made fair and constructive comments	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%
9 The class teaching assistant was available to answer queries about the marking	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%
10 The arrangements for the classes worked well	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%
11 The classes complemented the lecture course well	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%
12 It was easy to use the website to find the details of the time and place of the class.	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%


## **Part C - C5.3 Statistical Mechanics Classes**

**Michaelmas Term 2017**

**Margarint, Vlad (TA: Michael McPhai)**

### **Comments:**

- It would have been helpful to get grades on the problem sheets, but apart from that, the classes were really helpful.



Mr Vlad Margarint  
Mathematical Institute

April 26, 2016

Dear Mr Margarint,

**Mathematics Class Scheme**

Thank you for acting as a Teaching Assistant during the last term, and so helping us deliver the third and fourth-year Mathematics programme successfully.

I am glad to see that you have received satisfactory reports from the class tutors concerned over two terms. The Mathematical Institute now acknowledges that you are fully trained to act in the TA role. Furthermore we consider that you have sufficiently participated in training to have completed Preparation for Learning and Teaching (Stage 1).

Yours sincerely,

Dr Janet Dyson  
Faculty Teaching Advisor

Dr Richard Earl  
Director for Undergraduate Studies

<b>Instructor Name:</b> Margarint, Vlad-Dumitru	<b>Term:</b> Fall 2019	<b>Evaluation Type:</b> Final	<b>Class Description:</b> MATH-SHU 131-002 (18021) - Calculus (Lecture)
--	---------------------------	----------------------------------	--

50 out of 82 students completed the survey.

Survey Response Rate: 61.0%

## Recitation Instructor Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	# of Responses to the Answer		
			Answer	Answer	Answer %
<b>Overall evaluation of the recitation instructor.</b>	4.4	9	5 - Excellent	5	55.6%
			4 - Good	3	33.3%
			3 - Adequate	1	11.1%
			2 - Poor	0	0.0%
			1 - Very Poor	0	0.0%
<b>The recitation instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.</b>	4.4	9	5 - Strongly Agree	5	55.6%
			4 - Agree	3	33.3%
			3 - Neutral	1	11.1%
			2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
			1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
<b>The recitation instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e. g., exams, papers).</b>	4.4	9	5 - Strongly Agree	5	55.6%
			4 - Agree	3	33.3%
			3 - Neutral	1	11.1%
			2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
			1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%

## Instructor Comments

### What about the course or the instructor was effective in helping you learn? (Optional)

- very detailed explanation of concepts
- Recitation instructor is a very understanding person which can always explain everything and help you to improve.
- Prof. Margarint is friendly and also very humorous. Enjoyed very much.
- very detailed explanation of concepts
- Recitation instructor is a very understanding person which can always explain everything and help you to improve.
- Prof. Margarint is friendly and also very humorous. Enjoyed very much.

### What about the course or the instructor was effective in helping you learn? (Optional)

- very detailed explanation of concepts
- Recitation instructor is a very understanding person which can always explain everything and help you to improve.
- Prof. Margarint is friendly and also very humorous. Enjoyed very much.



<b>Instructor Name:</b> Margarint, Vlad-Dumitru	<b>Term:</b> Spring 2020	<b>Evaluation Type:</b> Final	<b>Class Description:</b> MATH-SHU 328-001 (17652) - Honors Analysis I (Lecture)
--	-----------------------------	----------------------------------	---

23 out of 30 students completed the survey.

Survey Response Rate: 76.7%

## Recitation Instructor Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	# of Responses to the Answer		
			Answer	Answer	Answer %
<b>Overall evaluation of the recitation instructor.</b>	4.6	23	5 - Excellent	15	65.2%
			4 - Good	7	30.4%
			3 - Adequate	1	4.3%
			2 - Poor	0	0.0%
			1 - Very Poor	0	0.0%
<b>The recitation instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.</b>	4.6	23	5 - Strongly Agree	15	65.2%
			4 - Agree	6	26.1%
			3 - Neutral	2	8.7%
			2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
			1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
<b>The recitation instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e. g., exams, papers).</b>	4.4	23	5 - Strongly Agree	14	60.9%
			4 - Agree	7	30.4%
			3 - Neutral	1	4.3%
			2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
			1 - Strongly Disagree	1	4.3%

## Instructor Comments

### What about the course or the instructor was effective in helping you learn? (Optional)

- He is really nice and his recitation is well constructed.
- Responding to emails.
- The catch up and explanation of hard homework are extremely helpful.
- The recapitulations are clear; and the solutions are also clearly explained.
- The two-part mode of recitation (answers to challenging exercises + review) helps me look back on what was learnt in the past week. I like it.  
Despite the time zone difference, Vlad never fails to upload the videos on time, respect!
- The recapitulations are clear; and the solutions are also clearly explained.
- The two-part mode of recitation (answers to challenging exercises + review) helps me look back on what was learnt in the past week. I like it.  
Despite the time zone difference, Vlad never fails to upload the videos on time, respect!
- The catch up and explanation of hard homework are extremely helpful.