# NYU SHANGHAI <br> 上海动纽约大学 <br> Course Evaluations Report 

MATH－SHU 131 Calculus
Summer 2022｜NYUSH Six Week Second \｜New York University｜Undergraduate

MATH－SHU 131－001（4388）
Calculus（Lecture）

| Days and Times | Location | Instructor | Dates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TuTh 1：00PM－4：00PM | Online Loc：Shanghai | Vlad－Dumitru Margarint | $07 / 04 / 2022-08 / 12 / 2022$ |

MATH－SHU 131－002（4389）
Calculus（Recitation）

| Days and Times | Location | Instructor | Dates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TuTh 4：05PM－5：25PM | Online Loc：Shanghai | Vlad－Dumitru Margarint | 07／04／2022－08／12／2022 |

General Questions－Overall evaluation of the instructor．

| $\#$ | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Overall evaluation of the <br> instructor． | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 6 |



| $\#$ | Answer | \％ | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | Total | $50.00 \%$ |

General Questions－Overall evaluation of the course．


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

General Questions－The instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning．


| \＃ | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | The instructor provided an <br> environment that was <br> conducive to learning． | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 6 |


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

General Questions－The instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components（e．g．，exams，papers）．


| \＃ | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | The instructor provided <br> helpful feedback on <br> assessed class components <br> （e．g．，exams，papers）． | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 6 |


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

General Questions－The course objectives were clearly stated．


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

## General Questions－The course was well organized．



General Questions－The course was intellectually stimulating．


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $16.67 \%$ | 1 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $66.67 \%$ | 4 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | $16.67 \%$ | 1 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

## Recitation Questions－Overall evaluation of the recitation instructor．



Recitation Questions－The recitation instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning．


| \＃ | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The recitation instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning． | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 0.47 | 0.22 | 6 |
| \＃ |  | Answer |  |  |  | \％ | Count |
| 1 |  | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0 |
| 2 |  | Disagree／Poor |  |  | 0．00 |  | 0 |
| 3 |  | Neutral／Adequate |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0 |
| 4 |  | Agree／Good |  |  | 66.67 |  | 4 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent |  |  |  | 33.33 |  | 2 |
|  | Total |  |  |  | 100 |  | 6 |

Recitation Questions - The recitation instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).


| \# | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The recitation instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers). | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 0.47 | 0.22 | 6 |
| \# |  | Answer |  |  |  | \% | Count |
| 1 |  | Strongly Disagree/Very Poor |  |  | 0.00\% |  | 0 |
| 2 |  | Disagree/Poor |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0 |
| 3 |  | Neutral/Adequate |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0 |
| 4 |  | Agree/Good |  |  | 66.67 |  | 4 |
| 5 |  | Strongly Agree/Excellent |  |  | 33.33 |  | 2 |
|  |  | Total |  |  | 100 |  | 6 |

Recitation Questions－Overall evaluation of the recitation section．


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | $50.00 \%$ | 3 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

Recitation Questions - The recitation section objectives were clearly stated.


| \# | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | The recitation section <br> objectives were clearly <br> stated. | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 0.47 | 0.22 | 6 |


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree/Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree/Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral/Adequate | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 4 | Agree/Good | $33.33 \%$ | 2 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree/Excellent | $66.67 \%$ | 4 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

Recitation Questions－The recitation section was well organized．


Recitation Questions－The recitation section was intellectually stimulating．


| \＃ | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | The recitation section was <br> intellectually stimulating． | 3.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 6 |


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Strongly Disagree／Very Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 2 | Disagree／Poor | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| 3 | Neutral／Adequate | $16.67 \%$ | 1 |
| 4 | Agree／Good | $66.67 \%$ | 4 |
| 5 | Strongly Agree／Excellent | $16.67 \%$ | 1 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 6 |

## Instructor Questions－What about the course or the instructor was effective in helping you learn？（Optional）

What about the course or the instructor was effective in helping you learn？（Optional）

The structure of the class was very reasonable but I still felt challenged and learned new things．
Professor Magarint is amazing．Though we had a short online summer course，he has been very patient and delicate in the recitation session，and his Q\＆A session is very thought－provoking and enlightening．Also，he has a very organized and efficient structure in lecturing．The structure he presents to us has been far more efficient and easier to understand than the material listed in the textbook．It has been a wonderful learning experience and I hope there will be more professors like Prof．Magarint in this school！

# NYU SHANGHAI <br> 上海 30 纽约 大 学 <br> Instructor Questions－What would you suggest to improve the course？ （Optional） 

What would you suggest to improve the course？（Optional）

No，it has been good enough for me to be honest．

End of the Report

